#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Andrea Ladányi, National Institute of Oncology (NIO), Hungary

\*CORRESPONDENCE Raksawan Deenonpoe, raksde@kku.ac.th

RECEIVED 21 February 2023 ACCEPTED 18 April 2023 PUBLISHED 28 April 2023

#### CITATION

Khonrak T, Watcharadetwittaya S, Chamgramol Y, Intarawichian P and Deenonpoe R (2023), *RET* rearrangements are relevant to histopathologica subtypes and clinicopathological features in Thai papillary thyroid carcinoma patients. *Pathol. Oncol. Res.* 29:1611138. doi: 10.3389/pore.2023.1611138

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Khonrak, Watcharadetwittaya, Chamgramol, Intarawichian and Deenonpoe. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# *RET* rearrangements are relevant to histopathologic subtypes and clinicopathological features in Thai papillary thyroid carcinoma patients

Thitima Khonrak<sup>1</sup>, Sasithorn Watcharadetwittaya<sup>1,2</sup>, Yaovalux Chamgramol<sup>1</sup>, Piyapharom Intarawichian<sup>1,2</sup> and Raksawan Deenonpoe<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand, <sup>2</sup>Cholangiocarcinoma Research Institute (CARI), Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

**Background:** Papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) is the most common type of thyroid cancer. The *RET* gene rearrangements *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* are the most common *RET* gene rearrangements in PTC patients. Different *RET::PTC* rearrangements are associated with different PTC phenotypes.

**Methods:** Eighty-three formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) PTC samples were examined. The prevalence and expression levels of *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* were determined using semi-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The association of these rearrangements with clinicopathological data was investigated.

**Results:** The presence of *CCDC6::RET* rearrangement was significantly associated with the classic subtype and absence of angio/lymphatic invasion (p < 0.05). While *NCOA4::RET* was associated with the tall-cell subtype, and presence of angio/lymphatic invasion and lymph node metastasis (p < 0.05). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that an absence of extrathyroidal extension and extranodal extension were independent predictive factors for *CCDC6::RET*, whereas the tall-cell subtype, large tumor size, angioinvasion, lymphatic invasion and perineural invasion were independent predictive factors for *NCOA4::RET* (p < 0.05). However, the mRNA expression level of *CCDC6::RET* and of *NCOA4::RET* were not significantly associated with clinicopathological data.

**Conclusion:** *CCDC6::RET* was correlated with an innocent PTC subtype and characteristics, but *NCOA4::RET* correlated with an aggressive phenotype of PTC. Therefore, these *RET* rearrangements strongly associated with clinicopathological phenotypes and can be used as predictive markers in PTC patients.

#### KEYWORDS

papillary thyroid carcinoma, RET rearrangements, *CCDC6::RET* rearrangement, *NCOA4::RET* rearrangement, gene rearrangements

# Introduction

Papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) is the most common form of well-differentiated thyroid cancer, originating from thyroid follicular cells. PTC is also the most prevalent form of thyroid cancer overall, contributing to 75%–85% of thyroidcancer cases (1). Although its incidence is rapidly increasing, PTC has the best overall prognosis of any type of thyroid cancer.

BRAF and RAS genes, as well as rearrangements of the RET gene are strongly associated with PTC, respectively. These mutations are associated with mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K)/ AKT signaling pathways that affect proliferation and differentiation in cancer cells. RET fusions/rearrangements, are the most frequent molecular alterations reported in PTC, being present in about 10%–40% of cases worldwide, but in 45%–60% of cases involving adolescents and children with sporadic PTC (2–5). The major fusion partner genes in such rearrangements are *CCDC6* and *NCOA4* (also known as *ELE1*). These two fusions account for approximately 90% of such cases (6).

The *RET* (rearranged during transfection) gene is a protooncogene located on the long arm of chromosome 10 (10q11.2), and consists of three parts; the extracellular segment, transmembrane segment and an intracellular segment (7); the intracellular segment is an important part that causing of *RET::PTC* rearrangements. The fusion of tyrosine kinase domain with the 5'region of another gene such as *CCDC6* and *ELE1*, generates chimeric products collectively named *RET::PTCs*. These result in ligandindependent dimerization and constitutive activation of these chimeric proteins triggers *RAS* which activates *RAF* kinases, and the downstream signaling cascade, causing proliferation and differentiation in cancer cells.

CCDC6::RET is formed by fusion with the CCDC6 (also designated H4) gene and NCOA4::RET by fusion with the NCOA4 (also designated ELE1, RFG or ARA70). These rearrangements are associated with papillary thyroid carcinoma cases with different characteristics; CCDC6::RET is more associated with sporadic thyroid cancer (8, 9) and with older patients and classic subtypes of papillary carcinoma

TABLE 1 The primer sequences.

(8–10). The NCOA4::RET rearrangement correlates with a more aggressive phenotype and a more advanced stage of PTC. Those fusion genes are also strongly associated with short radiation latency and solid subtypes of PTC (8, 9, 11–13). RET::PTC-mediated signaling depends on a specific RET tyrosine residue, Y1062 (numbering refers to the full-length RET protein). Both types of RET fusion have comparable levels of Y1062 phosphorylation but, MAPK phosphorylation is higher in NCOA4::RET than in CCDC6:: RET (14). Therefore, the two rearrangements have the same enzymatic activity and autophosphorylation levels, but activation of MAPK is greater by NCOA4::RET than CCDC6::RET.

Although the global prevalence of major *RET*::*PTC* fusions in PTC patients has been reported, but no data are available specifically for Thailand. Thus, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of *CCDC6*::*RET* and *NCOA4*::*RET* fusions in Thai papillary thyroid carcinoma patients. Prevalence and expression levels of *CCDC6*::*RET* and *NCOA4*::*RET* rearrangements in PTC patients were determined using semi-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The association of these *RET*::*PTC* rearrangements with clinicopathological data was also investigated to test the hypothesis that *CCDC6*::*RET* and *NCOA4*::*RET* fusion genes are differently associated with aggressive characteristics in PTC.

# Material and methods

#### Study population

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Human Research, Khon Kaen University (HE641011). Formal written informed consent was not required with a waiver by the appropriate IRB and/or national research ethics committee. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue of patients (n = 83) who had been diagnosed with papillary thyroid carcinoma by pathologists at Pathology services, Department of Pathology in the years 2010–2014 were investigated. All cases were included for this study by clinical data from medical records at Srinagarind hospital,

Name	Primers	Product size (bp)
GAPDH	F-GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACAGCG	97
	R-ACCACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAA	
CCDC6::RET	F-ATACTGGAGAACAGGCCATCTG	207
	R-CGTTGCCTTGACCACTTTTCC	
NCOA4::RET	F-CCTTTCAGCGAATGGCTCCT	136
	R- ATTCCCACTTTGGATCCTCCT	

Nucleotide positions of the primers are based on the sequences deposited in GenBank: CCDC6::RET (accession no.AF395885.1) and NCOA4::RET (accession no. S71225.1).



Khon Kaen, Thailand, including sex, age, clinicopathological information including size of tumor, subtype of PTC, angioinvasion, lymphatic invasion, perineural invasion, extrathyroidal extension, extranodal extension and lymph node metastasis. Data relating to lymph node metastasis and extranodal extension was missing for some patients. BRAF and KRAS mutations were not included in clinicopathological data according to genetic alterations were not performed in our routine service. As only morphologic features were investigated for pathological diagnosis.

#### FFPE slides preparation

Section of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from normal thyroid (n = 10), benign multinodular goiter (n =10), PTC (n = 83) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and reviewed by two pathologists (SW & PI) to confirm the diagnoses and subclassify the subtypes of papillary carcinomas (Figure 2A). Paraffin blocks of each tumor were examined by pathologists to identify the extent of tumorous tissue. These were then used for RNA extraction.



#### FIGURE 2

RET rearrangements in each PTC subtype. (A) Papillary thyroid carcinoma subtypes. (i) Classic PTC; consists of papillae with fibrovascular core line by neoplastic cell characterized by increased nuclear size, nuclear overlapping, nuclear features like clear, ground glass appearance and oval shape (400X, scale bar = 100 µm); (ii) Tall cell PTC; abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and nuclear features characteristic of classic subtype (400X, scale bar = 100 μm); (iii) Follicular PTC; follicular architecture but enlarged nuclei with cytologic features of PTC (400X, scale bar = 100 μm). (B) The prevalence of RET::PTC rearrangements in each PTC subtype; 41 (71.9%) and 24 (42.1%) cases of the classic subtype are positive for CCDC6::RET and NCOA4::RET, respectively, 7 (43.8%) cases of the tall-cell subtype were positive for CCDC6::RET and 13 (81.3%) cases for NCOA4::RET rearrangement, 4 (40%) cases of follicular PTC for CCDC6::RET and 6 (60%) cases for NCOA4::RET. Either the frequency of CCDC6::RET or NCOA4:: RET rearrangements are significantly different in those subtypes (p = 0.035, p = 0.019).

Variable	Category	N	CCDC6::RET rearrangement (%)	р	NCOA4::RET rearrangement (%)	р	CCDC6::RET and NCOA4:: RET rearrangement (%)	р
Gender	Male	24	15 (62.5)	0.986	14 (58.3)	0.448	9 (37.5)	0.350
	Female	59	37 (62.7)		29 (49.2)		16 (27.1)	
Age	<55	53	39 (73.6)	0.006	25 (47.2)	0.261	17 (32.1)	0.606
	≥55	30	13 (43.3)		18 (60.0)		8 (26.7)	
Tumor size	$\leq 1$	7	5 (71.4)	0.311	4 (57.1)	0.501	3 (42.9)	0.746
	$1 < T \leq 2$	18	13 (72.2)		8 (44.4)		5 (27.8)	
	$2 < T \leq 4$	40	26 (65.0)		19 (47.5)		13 (32.5)	
	>4	18	8 (44.4)		12 (66.7)		4 (22.2)	
PTC subtype	Classic	57	41 (71.9)	0.035	24 (42.1)	0.019	14 (24.6)	0.258
	Tall cell	16	7 (43.8)		13 (81.3)		7 (43.8)	
	Follicular <sup>a</sup>	10	4 (40.0)		6 (60.0)		4 (40.0)	
Angioinvasion	Absent	43	33 (76.7)	0.006	15 (34.9)	0.001	13 (30.2)	0.982
	Present	40	19 (47.5)		28 (70.0)		12 (30.0)	
Lymphatic	Absent	46	37 (80.4)	<0.001	17 (37.0)	0.003	16 (34.8)	0.343
invasion	Present	37	15 (40.5)		26 (70.3)		9 (24.3)	
Perineural	Absent	69	46 (66.7)	0.093	33 (47.8)	0.107	22 (31.9)	0.437
invasion	Present	14	6 (42.9)		10 (71.4)		3 (21.4)	
Extrathyroidal	Absent	67	48 (71.6)	<0.001	29 (43.3)	0.001	21 (31.3)	0.619
extension	Present	16	4 (25.0)		14 (87.5)		4 (25.0)	
Lymph node	Absent	12	9 (75.0)	0.129	4 (33.3)	< 0.001	4 (33.3)	0.425
metastasis <sup>b</sup>	Present	39	20 (51.3)		29 (74.4)		14 (35.9)	
Extranodal	Absent	31	22 (71.0)	0.030	18 (58.1)	0.013	13 (41.9)	0.180
extension <sup>b</sup>	Present	19	7 (36.8)		14 (73.7)		5 (26.3)	

TABLE 2 Correlation between RET rearrangements and clinicopathological data using Chi-square analysis.

"The follicular variant (n = 10) divided into 5 encapsulated FVPTC and 5 infiltrative FVPTC; 2 encapsulated FVPTC and 2 infiltrative FVPTC presented CCDC6::RET, 1 encapsulated FVPTC and 3 infiltrative FVPTC presented NCOA4::RET.

FVPTC, follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma.

<sup>b</sup>Some missing data.

Bold values mean "statistical significant".



#### FIGURE 3

Gel electrophoresis from qRT-PCR products. (A) CCDC6::RET rearrangement (expected band size 207 bp). M = 100 bp ladder, lane 1 is a positive control, lanes 2 and 3 = classic subtype, lane 4 and lane 5 = follicular variant, lane 6 = undetectable case (B) NCOA4::RET rearrangement (expected band size 136 bp). M = 100 bp ladder, lane 1 is a positive control, lane 2 = classic subtype, lane 3 and 4 = tall-cell, lane 5 = follicular variant and lane 6 = undetectable case.

### RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

FFPE blocks of all PTC tissues, as well as, benign and normal thyroid, were cut to provide sections of approximately 10  $\mu m$  in thickness. Ten ribbons from each sample were used for RNA

extraction. Tissue deparaffinization was performed using xylene and ethanol (SAV Liquid Production GmbH, Flintsbach am Inn, Germany). RNA was extracted using TRIzol<sup>®</sup> Reagent (Thermofisher Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) with the addition of 0.2 mL of chloroform per 1 mL of TRIZOL



reagent was added. Tissue sections were homogenized in 1 mL of TRIZOL reagent per 50–100 mg of tissue (1 ribbon with 10  $\mu$ m  $\approx$ 1 mg). Isopropanol per 1 mL TRIZOL reagent was used for precipitating the RNA. The concentration and purity of extracted RNA and protein were measured using a nanodrop spectrophotometer at wavelengths of 260 nm (A260) and 280 nm (A280), respectively. The concentration of RNA 50 µg/mL absorbed light at a wavelength of 260 nm in general. Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) was synthesized from messenger RNA using reverse transcription PCR and the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermofisher Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) following the manufacturer's instructions. For oligo (dT) 18 or gene-specific primed cDNA synthesis, incubated for 60 min at 42°C. For random hexamer primed synthesis, incubated for 5 min at 25°C followed by 60 min at 42°C. Terminated the reaction by heating at 70°C for 5 min. The reverse transcription reaction product could be directly used in PCR applications or stored at -20°C for less than 1 week.

# Semi-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Two specific primers were designed based on the sequences deposited in GenBank for CCDC6::RET and NCOA4::RET (Table 1). The nucleotide positions of those primers were synthesized as positive control. cDNA (50 ng/ µL) and 23 µL of RT-PCR master mix [SYBR Green master mix qPCR kit (Thermo Scientific Ltd., United Kingdom)] were used for the qRT-PCR. The reactions were carried out in triplicate. GAPDH mRNA was used as an internal control and a no-template control (NTC) was included each time. The amplification of cDNA was performed using a Thermal kit (Thermo Scientific Ltd., United Kingdom) with the following 40 cycles of amplification conditions in Supplementary Table S1. The cuff off cycle threshold (Ct) value was 37th cycle. Cases with Ct values ≤37 were categorized as positive for the specific rearrangement. Post-PCR fluorescence melting curve analysis was done to

Variable	Category	n (%)	CCDC6::RET rearrangement				n (%)	NCOA4::RET rearrangement			
			Univariate ai	nalysis	Multivariate analysis			Univariate ar	nalysis	Multivariate analysis	e
			OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p		OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	р
Gender	Male	15 (62.5)	1	0.986			14 (58.3)	1	0.449		
	Female	37 (62.7)	1.01 (0.38–2.69)				29 (49.2)	0.69 (0.26-1.80)			
Age	<55	39 (73.6)	1	0.007	1	0.159	25 (47.2)	1	0.263		
	≥55	13 (43.3)	0.27 (0.11-0.71)		0.27 (0.04–1.67)		18 (60.0)	1.68 (0.68-4.17)			
Tumor size	≤1	5 (71.4)	1		1	0.056	4 (57.1)	1		1	
	$1 < T \leq 2$	13 (72.2)	1.04 (0.15-7.22)	0.968			8 (44.4)	0.60 (0.10-3.49)	0.570		
	$2 < T \leq 4$	26 (65.0)	0.74 (0.13-4.33)	0.741			19 (47.5)	1.68 (0.13–3.43)	0.064	1.02 (0.00–1.53)	0.020
	>4	8 (44.4)	0.32 (0.05–2.11)	0.236	18.3 (0.93–361.64)		12 (66.7)	1.50 (0.25-8.98)	0.066	1.02 (0.00–1.87)	0.042
PTC subtype	Classic	41 (71.9)	1				24 (43.8)	1		1	
	Tall cell	7 (43.8)	0.30 (0.10-0.95)	0.041	0.75 (0.33-2.58)	0.07	13 (81.3)	5.96 (1.53–23.24)	0.010	6.06 (2.15–20.49)	0.032
	Follicular	4 (40.0)	1.18 (0.06–1.05)	0.058	1.09 (0.9–3.54)	0.121	6 (60.0)	2.06 (0.52-8.12)	0.300	0.03 (0.40-5.70)	0.173
Angioinvasion	Absent	33 (76.7)	1	0.007	0.48 (0.01-1.34)	0.086	15 (34.9)	1	0.002	1	0.019
	Present	19 (47.5)	0.27 (0.11-0.70)				28 (70.0)	4.36 (1.73–10.95)		16.29 (1.57–168.81)	
Lymphatic	Absent	37 (80.4)	1	< 0.001	1	0.078	17 (37.0)	1	0.003	1	0.015
invasion	Present	15 (40.5)	0.17 (0.06-0.44)		0.25 (0.05–1.17)		26 (70.3)	4.03 (1.60–10.17)		44.11 (2.11–922.55)	
Perineural	Absent	46 (66.7)	1	0.101	1	0.218	33 (47.8)	1	0.116	1	0.039
invasion	Present	6 (42.9)	0.38 (0.12–1.21)		0.35 (0.07–1.86)		10 (71.4)	2.73 (0.78-9.54)		24.64 (1.18–515.96)	
Extrathyroidal	Absent	48 (71.6)	1	0.001	1	0.032	29 (43.3)	1	0.005	1	0.109
extension	Present	4 (25.0)	0.13 (0.04–0.46)		0.25 (0.02-0.84)		14 (87.5)	9.17 (1.93-43.58)		17.99 (0.53–613.89)	
Lymph node	Absent	9 (75.0)	1	0.157	0.89 (0.09-1.2)	0.123	4 (33.3)	1	0.004	1	0.058
metastasis	Present	20 (51.3)	0.35 (0.08–1.50)				29 (74.4)	8.7 (1.96–38.65)		9.03 (0.93–88.02)	
Extranodal	Absent	22 (71.0)	1	0.021	1	0.026	18 (58.1)	1	0.268		
extension	Present	7 (36.8)	0.24 (0.07-0.80)		0.16 (0.03-0.80)		14 (73.7)	2.02 (0.58-7.03)			

TABLE 3 Univariate and multivariate analysis of the clinicopathological factors with *RET* rearrangements by the simple logistic regression and multiple logistic regression.

Bold values mean "statistical significant".

ensure that only a single product had been amplified. The CT values of *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* rearrangements were calculated, and data were expressed as the fold change of control (normal) using  $2^{-(\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ .

# Gel electrophoresis and sequencing

PCR products from the cases positive according to qRT-PCR were analyzed by electrophoresis through a 2% gel electrophoresis

Variable	Category	n (%)	Univariate analysi	S	Multivariate analysis		
			OR (95% CI)	Þ	OR (95% CI)	p	
Gender	Male	9 (37.5)	1	0.352			
	Female	16 (27.1)	0.62 (0.23-1.70)				
Age	<55	17 (32.1)	1	0.606			
	≥55	8 (26.7)	0.77 (0.29-2.08)				
Tumor size	$\leq 1$	3 (42.9)	1				
	$1$	5 (27.8)	0.51 (0.08-3.16)	0.472			
	$2 < T \leq 4$	13 (32.5)	0.64 (0.12-3.30)	0.596			
	>4	4 (22.2)	0.38 (0.06-2.46)	0.310			
PTC subtype	Classic	14 (24.6)	1			0.105	
	Tall cell	7 (43.8)	2.39 (0.75-7.60)	0.140	1		
	Follicular	4 (40.0)	2.05 (0.50-8.32)	0.316	2.71 (0.81-9.04)		
Angioinvasion	Absent	13 (30.2)	1	0.982			
	Present	12 (30.0)	0.99 (0.39-2.53)				
Lymphatic invasion	Absent	16 (34.8)	1	0.304			
	Present	9 (24.3)	0.60 (0.23-1.58)				
Perineural invasion	Absent	22 (31.9)	1	0.441			
	Present	3 (21.4)	0.58 (0.15-2.30)				
Extrathyroidal extension	Absent	21 (31.3)	1	0.620			
	Present	4 (25.0)	0.73 (0.21-2.53)				
Lymph node metastasis	Absent	4 (33.3)	1	0.871			
	Present	14 (35.9)	1.12 (0.29-4.39)				
Extranodal extension	Absent	13 (41.9)	1	0.268			
	Present	5 (26.3)	0.49 (0.14–1.72)				

TABLE 4 Univariate and multivariate analysis of the clinicopathological factors with both CCDC6::RET and NCOA4::RET rearrangements group by the simple logistic regression and multiple logistic.

and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The anticipated sizes of *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* products were 207 and 136 bp respectively. These bands were interpreted by visualization with a gel documentation system. Barcode-Tagged Sequencing (BTSeq <sup>TM</sup>) (U2Bio, Korea) was also applied for positive cases to obtain sequences of the PCR products.

### Statistical analyses

Distributions of all continuous variables were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. None was found to be normally distributed, requiring the use of non-parametric tests for further analysis. Comparison of categorical variables was carried out using Chi-square tests. Kruskal-Wallis tests and Mann-Whitney U tests to determine whether medians were different between comparison groups and to test for different expression levels between normal, benign goiter and PTC groups. Simple logistic regression and multiple logistic regression were used for backward stepwise univariate and multivariate analyses to identify independent prognostic factors for PTC patients using categorical data. Simple linear regression and multiple linear regression were used for continuous data. Variable factors with p value equal or less than 0.25 from univariate analysis were included to multivariate analysis to investigate the correlation between RET rearrangement and clinical characteristics.

The Ct values in the qRT-PCR assay of *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* levels were calculated, and data were expressed as the fold-change relative to controls using  $2(-\Delta\Delta Ct)$ . Results were regarded as statistically significant at *p*-values lower than 0.05. The statistical analyses were done using IBM SPSS statistics software version 28.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, United States).

# Results

### Prevalence of RET rearrangements

Eighty-three PTC patients included 59 females and 24 males with an average age of 48.21 years (range 17–79 years). Results from qRT-PCR showed the absence of CCDC6::RET or NCOA4::RET rearrangements in normal tissues (n = 10) and benign (multinodular goiter, n = 10) cases. On the other hand, CCDC6::RET rearrangements were detected in



The relative fold-change of mRNA expression levels in *RET* rearrangements transcripts in normal, benign and PTC tissues. For *CCDC6::RET*, showing the differentiation of mRNA expression among PTC, benign compared to normal tissue (p = 0.003) by Kruskal-Wallis test. Expression of mRNA in PTC is greater than in normal thyroid tissue (p = 0.002) by Mann Whitney U test. The *NCOA4::RET* mRNA expression, showing the differentiation of mRNA expression among PTC, benign and normal tissues (p < 0.001), normal tissue compared to PTC (p < 0.001).

52 PTC cases (62.7%), and NCOA4::RET rearrangements in 43 cases (51.8%). Both CCDC6::RET and NCOA4::RET rearrangements co-occurred in 25 PTC tissues (30.1%). Thirteen PTCs (15.6%) tested negative for either RET::PTC rearrangement (Figure 1).

# The association between *RET* rearrangements and clinicopathological data in PTC patients

PTCs have been categorized into classic, tall-cell subtypes and follicular variants. A correlation between the histological subtype and the type of *RET* rearrangement was observed; 71.93% of the classic subtype samples were positive for *CCDC6::RET* and 42.11% for *NCOA4::RET*, 43.75% of the tall-cell subtype tissues were positive for *CCDC6::RET* and 81.25% of them were *NCOA4::RET* positive. Both the frequency of *CCDC6::RET* and NCOA4::RET rearrangements were significantly different in those subtypes (OR = 0.27, 95% CI = 0.11–0.71) (Figure 2; Table 2).

Categorical variables were compared using Chi-square tests. Neither type of rearrangement was statistically significantly associated with gender. The *CCDC6::RET* rearrangement was significantly associated with patients younger than 55 years of age (p = 0.006) and classic subtype (p = 0.035). For clinicopathological markers, the *CCDC6::RET* rearrangement was significantly

associated with an absence of angioinvasion (p = 0.006) and of lymphatic invasion extrathyroidal extension and extranodal extension (p= <0.001 and 0.030). *NCOA4::RET* rearrangements were statistically significantly associated with the tall cell subtype (p = 0.019) and angioinvasion (p = 0.001), lymphatic invasion (p =0.003), lymph node metastasis (p= <0.001), extrathyroidal extension (p = 0.001) and extranodal extension (p = 0.013). Co-occurrence of *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* rearrangements was not significantly associated with clinicopathological data in PTC patients (Table 2).

Following gel electrophoresis and visualization, aliquots of each qRT-PCR product were sent to BTSeq<sup>TM</sup> (U2Bio, Korea) for sequencing. The *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* products were 207 and 136 bp in length, respectively, as expected (Figure 3). The sequencing results showing the fusion points and sequencing electropherograms are shown in Figure 4.

# Clinicopathological variables as predictive factors for *RET* rearrangements

Clinicopathological parameters of the 83 PTC patients were analyzed as dependent and independent predictive factors using simple logistic regression and multiple logistic regression with 95% CI values. Being younger than 55 years was a dependent predictive factor for the CCDC6::RET rearrangement (OR = 0.27, 95% CI = 0.11–0.71; *p* = 0.007). The absence of angioinvasion (OR = 0.27, 95% CI = 0.11–0.70; *p* = 0.007), absence of lymphatic invasion (OR = 0.17, 95% CI = 0.06-0.44; p < 0.001), of extrathyroidal extension (OR = 0.13, 95% CI = 0.04-0.46; p = 0.001) and of extranodal extension (OR = 0.24, 95% CI = 0.07-0.80; p = 0.021) were dependent predictive factors for CCDC6::RET. In multivariate analysis, controlling for the influence of other factors, an absence of extrathyroidal extension (OR = 0.25, 95% CI = 0.02–0.84, *p* = 0.032) and of extranodal extension (OR = 0.16, 95% CI = 0.03-0.80, p =0.026) were significant independent predictive factors for CCDC6:: RET (Table 3).

Tall-cell PTC (OR = 5.96, 95% CI = 1.53-23.24, p = 0.01), angioinvasion (OR = 4.36, 95% CI = 1.73-10.95; p = 0.002), lymphatic invasion (OR = 4.03, 95% CI = 1.60–10.17; p = 0.003), extrathyroidal extension (OR = 9.17, 95% CI = 1.93–43.58; p = 0.005) and lymph node metastasis (OR = 4.03 95% CI = 1.60–10.17; p = 0.004) were dependent predictive factors of the NCOA4::RET rearrangement. In multivariate analysis, the tall-cell subtype (OR = 6.06, 95% CI = 2.15-20.49, p = 0.032), tumor size  $2 < T \le 4$  cm and large tumor size >4 cm (OR = 1.02, 95% CI = 0.00-1.53, 0.00-0.87; *p* = 0.020 and 0.042, respectively), perineural invasion (OR = 24.64, 95% CI = 1.18–515.96; *p* = 0.039) lymphatic invasion (OR = 44.11, 95% CI = 2.11–922.55; *p* = 0.015), were significant independent predictive factors of NCOA4::RET (Table 3). However, co-occurrence of the two major RET fusions was not significantly associated with the clinicopathological data (Table 4).

Category	Category n (%) CCDC6::RET mRNA expression		NA	n (%)	NCOA4::RET mR expression	IRNA	
		Median	þ		Median	p	
Male	15 (62.5)	1.00 (0.20-17.23)	0.762	14 (58.3)	6.13 (1.00–162.38)	0.734	
Female	37 (62.7)	1.12 (0.48-328.60)		29 (49.2)	3.79 (0.69–95.52)		
<55	39 (73.6)	1.31 (0.20-328.60)	0.485	25 (47.2)	3.38 (0.69-95.52)	0.722	
≥55	13 (43.3)	1.06 (0.55-2.63)		18 (60.0)	3.88 (0.97-162.38)		
$\leq 1$	5 (71.4)	1.67 (0.49–17.23)	0.100	4 (57.1)	1.92 (0.69-35.59)	0.508	
$1$	13 (72.2)	1.00 (0.64-328.60)		8 (44.4)	2.94 (0.97-162.38)		
$2$	26 (65.0)	1.06 (0.20-61.12)		19 (47.5)	4.88 (0.98-62.24)		
>4	8 (44.4)	0.90 (0.55–1.94)		12 (66.7)	5.19 (1.32-93.92)		
Classic	41 (71.9)	1.94 (0.20-328.60)	0.761	24 (42.1)	3.37 (0.69-52.19)	0.294	
Tall cell	7 (43.8)	1.63 (0.64–17.23)		13 (81.3)	6.62 (0.69–162.38)		
Follicular	4 (40.0)	0.80 (0.49-26.28)		6 (60.0)	3.18 (1.56-52.19)		
Absent	33 (76.7)	1.31 (0.53-328.60)	0.091	15 (34.9)	3.79 (0.69-62.24)	0.961	
Present	19 (47.5)	0.87 (0.20-18.48)		28 (70.0)	5.55 (0.69-162.38)		
Absent	37 (80.4)	1.12 (0.20-328.60)	0.770	17 (37.0)	3.79 (0.97-95.52)	0.377	
Present	15 (40.5)	1.04 (0.48-61.12)		26 (70.3)	2.86 (0.69-162.38)		
Absent	46 (66.7)	1.32 (0.20-328.60)	0.057	33 (47.8)	3.38 (0.69–162.38)	0.501	
Present	6 (42.9)	0.66 (0.49-8.07)		10 (71.4)	4.56 (1.06-93.92)		
Absent	48 (71.6)	1.03 (0.20-328.60)	0.548	29 (43.3)	2.94 (0.69-95.52)	0.088	
Present	4 (25.0)	1.50 (1.04-2.63)		14 (87.5)	5.55 (1.36-162.38)		
Absent	9 (75.0)	0.92 (0.70-8.07)	0.814	4 (33.3)	5.39 (0.69-7.37)	0.934	
Present	20 (51.3)	1.18 (0.20-61.12)		29 (74.4)	3.18 (0.69-162.38)		
Absent	22 (71.0)	1.02 (0.20-61.12)	0.415	18 (58.1)	3.88 (0.69-93.92)	0.985	
Present	7 (36.8)	0.73 (0.48-4.29)		14 (73.7)	2.86 (0.97-162.38)		
	Category Male Female <55 $\geq 55$ $\leq 1$ $1 < T \leq 2$ $2 < T \leq 4$ >4 Classic Tall cell Follicular Absent Present Absent	Categoryn (%)Male15 (62.5)Female37 (62.7)<55	Categoryn (%)CCDC6::RET mR expressionMale15 (62.5)1.00 (0.20-17.23)Female37 (62.7)1.12 (0.48-328.60) $<55$ 39 (73.6)1.31 (0.20-328.60) $\geq 55$ 13 (43.3)1.06 (0.55-2.63) $\leq 1$ 5 (71.4)1.67 (0.49-17.23) $1 < T \leq 2$ 13 (72.2)1.00 (0.64-328.60) $2 < T \leq 4$ 26 (65.0)1.06 (0.20-61.12) $>4$ 8 (44.4)0.90 (0.55-1.94)Classic41 (71.9)1.94 (0.20-328.60)Tall cell7 (43.8)1.63 (0.64-17.23)Follicular4 (40.0)0.80 (0.49-26.28)Absent33 (76.7)1.31 (0.53-328.60)Present19 (47.5)0.87 (0.20-18.48)Absent37 (80.4)1.12 (0.20-328.60)Present15 (40.5)1.04 (0.48-61.12)Absent46 (66.7)1.32 (0.20-328.60)Present48 (71.6)1.03 (0.20-328.60)Present4 (25.0)1.50 (1.04-2.63)Absent9 (75.0)0.92 (0.70-8.07)Present20 (51.3)1.18 (0.20-61.12)Absent22 (71.0)1.02 (0.20-61.12)Present7 (36.8)0.73 (0.48-4.29)	Categoryn (%) $CCDC6::RET mRNA expression$ Median $p$ Male15 (62.5)1.00 (0.20–17.23)0.762Female37 (62.7)1.12 (0.48–328.60)0.485 $\leq 55$ 39 (73.6)1.31 (0.20–328.60)0.485 $\leq 55$ 13 (43.3)1.06 (0.55–2.63)0.100 $\leq 1$ 5 (71.4)1.67 (0.49–17.23)0.100 $1 < T \leq 2$ 13 (72.2)1.00 (0.64–328.60)0.485 $2 < T \leq 4$ 26 (65.0)1.06 (0.20–61.12)0.761 $2 < T \leq 4$ 26 (65.0)1.06 (0.20–61.12)0.761Tall cell7 (43.8)1.63 (0.64–17.23)0.761Tall cell7 (43.8)1.63 (0.64–17.23)0.91Present19 (47.5)0.87 (0.20–18.48)0.991Present19 (47.5)0.87 (0.20–18.48)0.971Absent37 (80.4)1.12 (0.20–328.60)0.057Present15 (40.5)1.04 (0.48–61.12)0.571Present4 (25.0)1.50 (1.04–2.63)0.548Present4 (25.0)1.50 (1.04–2.63)0.548Present4 (25.0)1.50 (1.04–2.63)0.548Present4 (25.0)1.50 (1.04–2.63)0.548Present20 (51.3)1.18 (0.20–61.12)0.814Present20 (51.3)1.18 (0.20–61.12)0.415Present20 (51.3)1.18 (0.20–61.12)0.415Present7 (36.8)0.73 (0.48–4.29)0.548	Categoryn (%) $CCDC6::RET mRNA expression$ n (%)MedianpMale15 (62.5)1.00 (0.20-17.23)0.76214 (58.3)Female37 (62.7)1.12 (0.48-328.60)29 (49.2)<5539 (73.6)1.31 (0.20-328.60)0.48525 (47.2) $\geq 55$ 13 (43.3)1.06 (0.55-2.63)18 (60.0) $\leq 1$ 5 (71.4)1.67 (0.49-17.23)0.1004 (57.1) $1 < T \leq 2$ 13 (72.2)1.00 (0.64-328.60)8 (44.4) $2 < T \leq 4$ 26 (65.0)1.06 (0.20-61.12)19 (47.5) $>4$ 8 (44.4)0.90 (0.55-1.94)12 (66.7)Classic41 (71.9)1.94 (0.20-328.60)0.76124 (42.1)Tall cell7 (43.8)1.63 (0.64-17.23).13 (81.3)Follicular4 (40.0)0.80 (0.49-26.28)6 (60.0)Absent33 (76.7)1.31 (0.53-328.60)0.09115 (34.9)Present19 (47.5)0.87 (0.20-18.48)28 (70.0)Absent37 (80.4)1.12 (0.20-328.60)0.077017 (37.0)Present6 (42.9)0.66 (0.49-8.07)10 (71.4)Absent48 (71.6)1.03 (0.20-328.60)0.57829 (43.3)Present9 (75.0)0.92 (0.70-8.07)0.8144 (33.3)Present20 (51.3)1.18 (0.20-61.12)29 (74.4)Absent22 (71.0)1.02 (0.20-61.12)0.41518 (58.1)Present20 (51.3)1.18 (0.20-61.12)0.41518 (58.1)Present20 (51.3)	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \mathbf{Category} & n (%) & \mathbf{CCDC6::RET mRNA} & n (%) & \mathbf{NCOA4::RET mR} & \mathbf{category} & n (%) & \mathbf{NCOA4::RET mR} & \mathbf{category} & \mathbf{nc} & \mathbf{NCOA4::RET mR} & \mathbf{category} & \mathbf{NCOA4::RET mR} & \mathbf{nc} & \math$	

TABLE 5 Mann-Whitney U tests and Kruskal-Wallis test of the predictive marker with relative RET mRNA expression levels in papillary thyroid carcinoma.

# Determination of mRNA expression levels of *RET* rearrangements in thyroid tissues

The mRNA expression levels of CCDC6::RET and NCOA4::RET rearrangements in PTC compared to benign (multinodular goiter) and normal thyroid tissue are shown in Figure 5. The median mRNA expression levels of CCDC6:: RET transcripts were 0.61 (0.14-1.34), 0.76 (0.36-1.89) and 1.09 (0.20-328.60) in normal, benign and PTC tissues, respectively. The median mRNA expression levels of NCOA4::RET rearrangement were 0.66 (0.08-2.19), 0.76 (0.36-1.89) and 3.59 (0.69-162.38) in normal, benign and PTC tissues, respectively. The relative CCDC6::RET mRNA expression levels in PTC (1.79) and benign tissues (1.25) were significantly higher than in normal tissues (p = 0.003). The relative mRNA expression levels of NCOA4::RET transcripts were significantly higher in PTC tissues (5.44) than in benign tissues (1.15) or normal tissues (p < 0.001 in both cases) (Figure 5).

# The associations between clinicopathological factors and relative mRNA expression levels of *RET* rearrangements in PTC patients

Associations between clinicopathological factors and mRNA expression levels of *RET* rearrangements were performed using Mann-Whitney U tests and Kruskal-Wallis tests. No statistically significant association with clinicopathological factors was found (Table 5).

In univariate analysis, the clinicopathological factors were not dependent predictive factors for *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4:: RET* mRNA expression levels (Tables 6, 7).

# Discussion

Papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) is the most common form of thyroid cancer. It is also rapidly increasing in incidence worldwide. This cancer has quite a good prognosis, with less

Variable	Category	n (%)	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
			Mean diff (95% CI)	Þ	Mean diff (95% CI)	p	
Gender	Male	15 (62.5)	1	0.416			
	Female	37 (62.7)	11.57 (-16.77-39.92)				
Age	<55	39 (73.6)	1	0.382			
	≥55	13 (43.3)	-13.02 (-42.62-16.60)				
Tumor size	$\leq 1$	5 (71.4)	1		1		
	$1$	13 (72.2)	28.87 (-19.26-76.99)	0.234	-6.90 (-15.49-1.70)	0.111	
	$2 < T \leq 4$	26 (65.0)	0.37 (-44.29-45.02)	0.987			
	>4	8 (44.4)	-3.12 (-55.26-49.01)	0.905			
PTC subtype	Classic	41 (71.9)	1				
	Tall cell	7 (43.8)	-9.23 (-47.66-29.20)	0.632			
	Follicular	4 (40.0)	-5.68 (-54.91-43.54)	0.817			
Angioinvasion	Absent	33 (76.7)	1	0.295	1		
	Present	19 (47.5)	-13.99 (-40.54-12.57)				
Lymphatic invasion	Absent	37 (80.4)	1	0.659			
	Present	15 (40.5)	-6.30 (-34.78-22.18)				
Perineural invasion	Absent	46 (66.7)	1	0.607			
	Present	6 (42.9)	-10.40 (-50.76-29.96)				
Extrathyroidal extension	Absent	48 (71.6)	1	0.674			
	Present	4 (25.0)	-10.22 (-58.65-38.22)				
Lymph node metastasis	Absent	9 (75.0)	1	0.532			
	Present	20 (51.3)	2.88 (-6.46-12.21)				
Extranodal extension	Absent	22 (71.0)	1	0.515			
	Present	7 (36.8)	-3.24 (-13.33-6.84)				

TABLE 6 Univariate analysis and multivariate analysis of the clinicopathological factors with relative CCDC6::RET mRNA expression level by simple linear regression and multiple linear regression.

than 2% mortality at 5 years. However, more than 25% of PTC patients suffer a recurrence in the long term (15).

Rearrangements of *BRAF*, *RAS*, *P53* and *RET* are all strongly associated with thyroid cancer. For *RET* rearrangements, *RET::PTCs* are the most frequent molecular alterations reported in PTC (2–5). Several fusion partner genes of *RET* in such rearrangements have been reported, but the major ones are *CCDC6* and *NCOA4* (accounting for more than 90%) (6).

We found that the prevalence of *CCDC6*::*RET*, *NCOA4*:: *RET* rearrangements and co-occurrence of both in Thailand were 62.65%, 51.81%, and 30.12%, respectively. These rearrangements were not found in normal thyroid, or in benign tumors. Similarly, high frequencies of *RET*::*PTC* rearrangements have been reported from Taiwan (55%), New Caledonia (70%) and Australia (85%) (16, 17). On the other hand, studies from Korea (6.5%) and Japan (30%) showed low frequencies of *RET*::*PTC* rearrangements in PTC (18, 19). This heterogeneity in *RET*::*PTC* rearrangements may be due to ethnicity, different geographical location and/or differences in environmental exposure. The literature has reported that frequency of *RET*  rearrangements in papillary carcinomas ranges from 0% to 87% (20, 21). These differences are partly explained by preselection of the analyzed cohort, geographical diversity and different sensitivities of the assays used for detection (20, 22). Naito et al. (23) reported that the prevalence of *RAS* gene mutations in Thai PTC patients was higher than in Japan. Being affected the RET rearrangements according to RET rearrangements/mutations and RAS family mutations are almost always mutually exclusive (23, 24).

This suggests that an existence of a specific environmental agent in northeast Thailand, presumably related to the high level of nitrates in the diet, particularly high salt-preserved fish; Pla-ra, Pla-som and also vegetables, water promotes the progression of thyroid papillary carcinomas (23). Apart from the forementioned majority factors, other putative carcinogens, for example, caffeine, ethanol, hypoxia are able to induce DNA double-strand breaks and generate RET/PTC rearrangements (26–28). As reported previously, the frequency of *CCDC6::RET* in sporadic thyroid cancer is higher than that of *NCOA4::RET* (8, 9). Moreover, our study revealed no major RET rearrangements in benign tumors, similar to previous studies (13, 21).

Variable	Category	n (%)	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
			Mean diff (95% CI)	p	Mean diff (95% CI)	p	
Gender	Male	14 (58.3)	1	0.363			
	Female	29 (49.2)	-9.55 (-30.49-11.40)				
Age	<55	25 (47.2)	1	0.356			
	≥55	18 (60.0)	9.10 (-10.59-28.79)				
Tumor size	$\leq 1$	4 (57.1)	1				
	$1$	8 (44.4)	-10.76 (-51.46-29.94)	0.596			
	$2$	19 (47.5)	0.73 (-35.68-37.13)	0.968			
	>4	12 (66.7)	-3.62 (-41.99-34.76)	0.850			
PTC subtype	Classic	24 (42.1)	1			0.051	
	Tall cell	13 (81.3)	21.60 (0.02-43.18)	0.050	1		
	Follicular	6 (60.0)	9.74 (-18.95-38.43)	0.497	20.5 (0.23-40.77)		
Angioinvasion	Absent	15 (34.9)	1	0.374			
	Present	28 (70.0)	9.03 (-11.26-29.32)				
Lymphatic invasion	Absent	17 (37.0)	1	0.808			
	Present	26 (70.3)	2.43 (-17.60-22.45)				
Perineural invasion	Absent	33 (47.8)	1	0.829			
	Present	10 (71.4)	2.53 (-20.97-26.03)				
Extrathyroidal extension	Absent	29 (43.3)	1	0.123	1	0.082	
	Present	14 (87.5)	15.74 (-4.46-35.94)		18.05 (-2.37-38.48)		
Lymph node metastasis	Absent	4 (33.3)	1	0.530			
	Present	29 (74.4)	11.12 (-24.6-46.85)				
Extranodal extension	Absent	18 (58.1)	1	0.558			
	Present	14 (73.7)	6.86 (-16.75-30.47)				

TABLE 7 Univariate analysis and multivariate analysis of the clinicopathological factors with relative NCOA4::RET mRNA expression level by simple linear regression and multiple linear regression.

CCDC6::RET rearrangement was significantly associated with the classic histological subtype (41/57) (p = 0.035), a result in agreement with previous studies (8-10, 29). Similarly, Guerra et al (4) reported that CCDC6::RET was the dominant type within sporadic carcinomas and was strongly related to the classic subtype (4). NCOA4::RET rearrangement was significantly associated with the tall cell subtype (13/16) (p = 0.019). In term of clinicopathological markers, the NCOA4::RET rearrangement was significantly associated with angioinvasion (p = 0.001), lymphatic invasion (p = 0.003), extrathyroidal extension (p = 0.001), lymph node metastasis (p <0.001) and extranodal extension (p = 0.013), respectively. This is in agreement with Sugg et al (12) and Mochizuki et al (30) who reported that NCOA4::RET may cause de-differentiation and more aggressive behavior in papillary thyroid carcinoma (12, 30). Certainly, NCOA4:: RET correlates with a more aggressive phenotype and a more advanced stage of PTC (8, 9, 11-13). Galuppini et al (31), Khan et al (18) and Rogounovitch et al (32) reported that an NCOA4::RET rearrangement was associated with lymph node metastasis (18, 31, 32).

Univariate analysis revealed *CCDC6::RET* mRNA expression levels in patients with small tumor size  $(1 < T \le 2 \text{ cm})$  and the classic subtype was not significant that in contrast to with

Rhoden et al. (21). Moreover, the other clinicopathological markers, such as extrathyroidal extension or metastases were not correlated with *CCDC6*::RET, in agreement with Tallini et al (33).

High expression levels of NCOA4::RET were found, but not significant in patients with the tall-cell subtype, extrathyroidal extension, lymph node metastasis and extranodal extension. Our findings are similar to those of from Powell et al (11) that showed the NCOA4::RET rearrangement was more frequent in tall-cell tumors: its expression in transgenic mice generated solid tumor subtypes of thyroid cancer with more aggressive and metastatic behavior (11). Martínez et al (34) reported that high detection or expression of BRAFV600E and low expression or absence of that CCDC6::RET rearrangement were associated with extrathyroidal extension. In contrast, a high activity of RET (presence of CCDC6::RET rearrangement or high expression) and low activity of BRAF (absence of BRAFV600E or its low expression) were associated with lymph node metastasis. It has been suggested that BRAFV600E and the CCDC6::RET rearrangement can predict aggressive PTC (34). The literature suggests that RET::PTC rearrangements, and especially NCOA4:: RET, can be induced by radiation (23, 35). In such cases,

Nikiforov et al (8) and Rabes HM et al (9) reported that in radiation-induced PTC, *NCOA4::RET* has an equal or even higher prevalence than *CCDC6::RET* fusions (8, 9). Radiation exposure is often associated with *NCOA4::RET* and is more common in children 4 years of age or younger. In addition, the latest WHO classification 2022 emphasizes that the telomerase reverse transcriptase (*TERT*) gene mutation in PTC patients correlates with an increased risk of distant metastases and poor prognosis. Moreover, the gain of chromosome 1q in the *PLEKHS1* promoter (*PLEKSH1*p) may cause a poorer outcome in PTCs (36). Therefore, further investigation is required into more data on history of recurrence, radiation history, gene aberration, tumor focality among other aspects to enhance our understanding of *RET:: PTC* rearrangements.

In our study, tumor tissues from 83 PTC patients were investigated to determine the prevalence of *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* rearrangements in Thailand and to explore the association between the mRNA expression of *CCDC6::RET* and *NCOA4::RET* rearrangements and clinicopathology. The *CCDC6::RET* rearrangement was significantly associated with less aggressive histological subtypes and absence of certain clinicopathological markers. Moreover, the absence of extrathyroidal and extranodal extension were independent predictive factors for *CCDC6::RET*.

In contrast, large tumor size, more aggressive types like the tallcell type, angioinvasion, perineural invasion or extrathyroidal extension were independent predictive factors of *NCOA4::RET*. Briefly, *CCDC6::RET* correlated with less aggressive, but *NCOA4:: RET* correlated with more aggressive phenotypes of PTC. In conclusion, the two rearrangements that we studied were strongly associated with particular clinicopathological phenotypes, particularly histological subtype.

# Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

# Ethics statement

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Human Research, Khon Kaen University (HE641011). Formal written informed consent was not required with a waiver by the appropriate IRB and/or national research ethics committee.

# Author contributions

TK: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, resources, software, validation and writing—original draft, writing—review and editing, and approval of the final manuscript. SW: methodology, visualization, writing—review and editing, and approval of the final manuscript. YC: validation, writing—review and editing, and approval of the final manuscript. PI: methodology, visualization, writing—review and editing, and approval of the final manuscript. RD: formal analysis, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, visualization, conceptualization, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing, and approval of the final manuscript.

# Funding

The study was supported by a postgraduate study support grant of Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University and Invitation Research Fund from Faculty of Medicine No. IN64147 (date of approval 18 January 2021), Khon Kaen University, Thailand.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to extend acknowledgment to Srinagarind Hospital for providing the specimens. We would like to acknowledge Prof. David Blair for editing the manuscript via Publication Clinic KKU, Thailand. We thank Mr. Suwit Balthaisong for preparation the slides from FFPE blocks that were used in this work. We thank Mr. Manop Sripa for molecular technique suggestions. We also thank Miss Piyanan Suparattanagool as the statistical expertise consultants.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.por-journal.com/articles/10.3389/pore. 2023.1611138/full#supplementary-material

# References

1. Fagin JA, Wells SA, Jr. Biologic and clinical perspectives on thyroid cancer. N Engl J Med (2016) 375(11):1054–67. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1501993

2. Liu RT, Chou FF, Wang CH, Lin CL, Chao FP, Chung JC, et al. Low prevalence of *RET* rearrangements (*RET*/PTC1, *RET*/PTC2, *RET*/PTC3, and *ELKS-RET*) in sporadic papillary thyroid carcinomas in taiwan Chinese. *Thyroid* (2005) 15(4): 326–35. doi:10.1089/thy.2005.15.326

3. Fenton CL, Lukes Y, Nicholson D, Dinauer CA, Francis GL, Tuttle RM. The *ret/*PTC mutations are common in sporadic papillary thyroid carcinoma of children and young adults. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2000) 85(3):1170–5. doi:10.1210/jcem.85.3.6472

4. Guerra A, Rosaria Sapio M, Marotta V, Campanile E, Ilaria Moretti M, Deandrea M, et al. Prevalence of RET/PTC rearrangement in benign and malignant thyroid nodules and its clinical application. *Endocr J* (2011) 58(1): 31–8. doi:10.1507/endocrj.k10e-260

5. Liu S, Gao A, Zhang B, Zhang Z, Zhao Y, Chen P, et al. Assessment of molecular testing in fine-needle aspiration biopsy samples: An experience in a Chinese population. *Exp Mol Pathol* (2014) 97(2):292–7. doi:10.1016/j.yexmp.2014.08.005

6. Staubitz JI, Musholt TJ, Schad A, Springer E, Lang H, Rajalingam K, et al. ANKRD26-RET - a novel gene fusion involving RET in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Cancer Genet* (2019) 238:10–7. doi:10.1016/j.cancergen.2019.07.002

7. Santoro M, Carlomagno F. Central role of RET in thyroid cancer. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol (2013) 5(12):a009233. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a009233

8. Nikiforov YE, Rowland JM, Bove KE, Monforte-Munoz H, Fagin JA. Distinct pattern of ret oncogene rearrangements in morphological variants of radiation-induced and sporadic thyroid papillary carcinomas in children. *Cancer Res* (1997) 57(9):1690–4.

9. Rabes HM, Demidchik EP, Sidorow JD, Lengfelder E, Beimfohr C, Hoelzel D, et al. Pattern of radiation-induced RET and NTRK1 rearrangements in 191 postchernobyl papillary thyroid carcinomas: Biological, phenotypic, and clinical implications. *Clin Cancer Res* (2000) 6(3):1093–103.

10. Smida J, Salassidis K, Hieber L, Zitzelsberger H, Kellerer AM, Demidchik EP, et al. Distinct frequency of ret rearrangements in papillary thyroid carcinomas of children and adults from Belarus. *Int J Cancer* (1999) 80(1):32–8. doi:10.1002/(sici) 1097-0215(19990105)80:1<32:aid-ijc7>3.0.co;2-l

11. Powell DJ, Russell J, Nibu K, Li G, Rhee E, Liao M, et al. The RET/ PTC3 oncogene: Metastatic solid-type papillary carcinomas in murine thyroids. *Cancer Res* (1998) 58(23):5523-8.

12. Sugg SL, Ezzat S, Rosen IB, Freeman JL, Asa SL. Distinct multiple RET/PTC gene rearrangements in multifocal papillary thyroid neoplasia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1998) 83(11):4116–22. doi:10.1210/jcem.83.11.5271

13. Thomas GA, Bunnell H, Cook HA, Williams ED, Nerovnya A, Cherstvoy ED, et al. High prevalence of RET/PTC rearrangements in Ukrainian and belarussian post-chernobyl thyroid papillary carcinomas: A strong correlation between *RETPTC3* and the solid-follicular variant. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1999) 84(11):4232–8. doi:10.1210/jcem.84.11.6129

14. Basolo F, Giannini R, Monaco C, Melillo RM, Carlomagno F, Pancrazi M, et al. Potent mitogenicity of the RET/PTC3 oncogene correlates with its prevalence in tall-cell variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* (2002) 160(1): 247–54. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64368-4

15. Abdullah MI, Junit SM, Ng KL, Jayapalan JJ, Karikalan B, Hashim OH. Papillary thyroid cancer: Genetic alterations and molecular biomarker investigations. *Int J Med Sci* (2019) 16(3):450–60. doi:10.7150/ijms.29935

16. Lee CH, Hsu LS, Chi CW, Chen GD, Yang AH, Chen JY. High frequency of rearrangement of the RET protooncogene (RET/PTC) in Chinese papillary thyroid carcinomas. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (1998) 83(5):1629–32. doi:10.1210/jcem.83.5. 4774

17. Chua EL, Wu WM, Tran KT, Mccarthy SW, Lauer CS, Dubourdieu D, et al. Prevalence and distribution of RET/PTC 1, 2, and 3 in papillary thyroid carcinoma in New Caledonia and Australia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2000) 85(8):2733–9. doi:10.1210/jcem.85.8.6722

18. Khan MS, Qadri Q, Makhdoomi MJ, Wani MA, Malik AA, Niyaz M, et al. RET/PTC gene rearrangements in thyroid carcinogenesis: Assessment and clinico-pathological correlations. *Pathol Oncol Res* (2020) 26(1):507–13. doi:10.1007/s12253-018-0540-3

19. Chung JH, Hahm JR, Min YK, Lee MS, Lee MK, Kim KW, et al. Detection of RET/PTC oncogene rearrangements in Korean papillary thyroid carcinomas. *Thyroid* (1999) 9(12):1237–43. doi:10.1089/thy.1999.9.1237

20. Zhu Z, Ciampi R, Nikiforova MN, Gandhi M, Nikiforov YE. Prevalence of *RET/PTC* rearrangements in thyroid papillary carcinomas: Effects of the detection methods and genetic heterogeneity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2006) 91(9):3603–10. doi:10.1210/jc.2006-1006

21. Rhoden KJ, Johnson C, Brandao G, Howe JG, Smith BR, Tallini G. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR identifies distinct c-RET, *RET/PTC1* and *RET/PTC3* expression patterns in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Lab Invest* (2004) 84(12): 1557–70. doi:10.1038/labinvest.3700198

22. Musholt TJ, Staubitz JI, Antonio Cámara RJ, Musholt PB, Humberg D, Springer E, et al. Detection of RET rearrangements in papillary thyroid carcinoma using RT-PCR and FISH techniques - a molecular and clinical analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol* (2019) 45(6):1018–24. doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2018.11.009

23. Naito H, Pairojkul C, Kitahori Y, Yane K, Miyahara H, Konishi N, et al. Different ras gene mutational frequencies in thyroid papillary carcinomas in Japan and Thailand. *Cancer Lett* (1998) 131(2):171–5. doi:10.1016/s0304-3835(98) 00149-9

24. Sherman SI, Clary DO, Elisei R, Schlumberger MJ, Cohen EW, Schöffski P, et al. Correlative analyses of RET and RAS mutations in a phase 3 trial of cabozantinib in patients with progressive, metastatic medullary thyroid cancer. *Cancer* (2016) 122(24):3856–64. doi:10.1002/cncr.30252

25. Melillo RM, Castellone MD, Guarino V, Falco VD, Cirafici AM, Salvatore G, et al. The RET/PTC-RAS-BRAF linear signaling cascade mediates the motile and mitogenic phenotype of thyroid cancer cells. *J Clin Invest* (2005) 115(4):1068–81. doi:10.1172/JCI22758

26. Romei C, Elisei R. RET/PTC translocations and clinico-pathological features in human papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Front Endocrinol* (2012) 3:54. doi:10.3389/fendo.2012.00054

27. Gandhi M, Dillon LW, Pramanik S, Nikiforov YE, Wang YH. DNA breaks at fragile sites generate oncogenic RET/PTC rearrangements in human thyroid cells. *Oncogene* (2010) 29(15):2272-80. doi:10.1038/onc. 2009.502

28. Gandhi M, Evdokimova V, Nikiforov YE. Mechanisms of chromosomal rearrangements in solid tumors: The model of papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Mol Cel Endocrinol* (2010) 321(1):36–43. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2009.09.013

29. Adeniran AJ, Zhu Z, Gandhi M, Steward DL, Fidler JP, Giordano TJ, et al. Correlation between genetic alterations and microscopic features, clinical manifestations, and prognostic characteristics of thyroid papillary carcinomas. *Am J Surg Pathol* (2006) 30(2):216–22. doi:10.1097/01.pas. 0000176432.73455.1b

30. Mochizuki K, Kondo T, Nakazawa T, Iwashina M, Kawasaki T, Nakamura N, et al. RET rearrangements and BRAF mutation in undifferentiated thyroid carcinomas having papillary carcinoma components: Genetic background of composite UTC. *Histopathology* (2010) 57(3):444–50. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2559. 2010.03646.x

31. Galuppini F, Vianello F, Censi S, Barollo S, Bertazza L, Carducci S, et al. Differentiated thyroid carcinoma in pediatric age: Genetic and clinical scenario. *Front Endocrinol* (2019) 10:552. doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00552

32. Rogounovitch TI, Mankovskaya SV, Fridman MV, Leonova TA, Kondratovitch VA, Konoplya NE, et al. Major oncogenic drivers and their clinicopathological correlations in sporadic childhood papillary thyroid carcinoma in Belarus. *Cancers* (2021) 13(13):3374. doi:10.3390/cancers13133374

33. Tallini G, Santoro M, Helie M, Carlomagno F, Salvatore G, Chiappetta G, et al. RET/PTC oncogene activation defines a subset of papillary thyroid carcinomas lacking evidence of progression to poorly differentiated or undifferentiated tumor phenotypes. *Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res* (1998) 4(2):287-94.

34. Martínez JRW, Vargas-Salas S, Gamboa SU, Muñoz E, Domínguez JM, León A, et al. The combination of RET, BRAF and demographic data identifies subsets of patients with aggressive papillary thyroid cancer. *Horm Cancer* (2019) 10(2–3): 97–106. doi:10.1007/s12672-019-0359-8

35. Fugazzola L, Pilotti S, Pinchera A, Vorontsova TV, Mondellini P, Bongarzone I, et al. Oncogenic rearrangements of the RET proto-oncogene in papillary thyroid carcinomas from children exposed to the chernobyl nuclear accident. *Cancer Res* (1995) 55(23):5617–20.

36. Baloch ZW, Asa SL, Barletta JA, Ghossein RA, Juhlin CC, Jung CK, et al. Overview of the 2022 WHO classification of thyroid neoplasms. *Endocr Pathol* (2022) 33(1):27–63. doi:10.1007/s12022-022-09707-3